NUMERIAL PROTECTION	
EL ODIDA	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0990461 DATE: 3/17/2008 ARRIVE: 1:00 PM DEPART: 1:35 PM FACILITY NAME: DBA MAACO AUTO PAINTING - WPB FACILITY LOCATION: 1934 CHURCH STREET WEST PALM BEACH 33409 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: GREGORIO SALAZAR PHONE: (CONTACT NAME: Same PHONE: (ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/15/2003 / 11/15/2008 (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check \square appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes [🗌 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Yes [] No

c)	considering the use of low-	-VOC coatings (e.g.,	waterborne, ultra-violet cured,	or powder coatings)?	Yes X No
\mathbf{v}_{j}	considering the use of low	, oc coulings (e.g.,	waterborne, and violet carea,	or powder coulings).	

- d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?-----
- e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
 - spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?------ □Yes ⊠ No
 recycling cleaning solvents?------ □Yes ⊠ No

ART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE</u> A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment withou c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4 local program office?	but replacement? Yes by different than that noted on the most Yes When the owner submit a new and complete -4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or
Jeffrey Dizek	3/17/2008
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	3/2009
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Inspector's Signature COMMENTS:	Approximate Date of